

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,311	12/31/2003	Chung-l Lee		1750
25859 WEI TE CHUN	7590 07/16/200 NG	7	EXAMINER	
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC.			DARNO, PATRICK A	
1650 MEMOREX DRIVE SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2163	1
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/749,311	LEE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patrick A. Darno	2163			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 March 2007</u> .					
,	nis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	,				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r clastian requirement				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/31/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f):						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive				
Attachmer		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(/DTO 412)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ate				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application .			

Application/Control Number: 10/749,311 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 4-8 are canceled. Claims 1-3 are pending in this office action.

2. Applicant's election of claims 1-3, without traverse, in the reply filed on 03/07/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 5,671,404 issued to Martin Lizee et al. (hereinafter "Lizee") and further in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,297,039 issued to Atsushi Kanaegami et al. (hereinafter "Kanaegami").

Claim 1:

Lizee discloses in figures 1A, 1B, and 3, a system for visually mining information, the system being program to mine data from a structured information report for analyzing, and comprising a data mining module for mining data from the structured information report, the data mining module comprising a parameter obtaining sub-module for obtaining mining parameters and a scanning command; and a querying sub-module for querying data form the structured information report in accordance with the mining parameters; and a dynamic scanning module (Lizee: column 58-57); and a marking sub-module for marking an identified field of the

Application/Control Number: 10/749,311

Art Unit: 2163

structure information report with a designated mark (*Lizee: col. 5, lines 11-3, lines 66+; column 6, lines 64-67*).

Lizee fails to explicitly disclose:

a scanning sub-module for scanning the structured information report; and an identifying sub-module for identifying whether data stored in a field of the structured information report match the mining parameters.

However, Kanaegami discloses in figures 1-6 a system for visually mining information, the system comprising at least:

a scanning sub-module for scanning the structured information report (Kanaegami: column 2, line 67 – column 3, lines 42); and

an identifying sub-module for identifying whether data stored in a field of the structured information report match the mining parameters (*Kanaegami: column 2, line 67 – column 3, lines 42*).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lizee with the teachings of Kanaegami noted above. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to improve the teachings of Lizee per the above because the searching of a structured document or template provides for a more accurate and reliable search (*Kanaegami: lines 7-13*).

Claim 2:

The combination of Lizee and Kanaegami discloses all the elements of claim 1, as noted above, and Lizee further discloses wherein the data mining module further comprises a parameter setting sub-module for generating an SQL (structured query language) sentence in

Application/Control Number: 10/749,311

Art Unit: 2163

accordance with the mining parameters (Lizee: column 5, lines 34 - 67).

Claim 3:

The combination of Lizee and Kanaegami discloses all the elements of claim 1, as noted

above, and Lizee further discloses wherein the scanning sub-module comprises a scanning

needle for scanning each of the fields of the structured information report (Lizee: column 6, lines 29-

44).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to Patrick A. Darno whose telephone number is (571) 272-0788. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can

be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-

786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PAD

DON WONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Patrick A. Darno Examiner

Art Unit 2163
Patil C. Ne